arXiv:1201.3999v1 [math.DG] 19 Jan 2012

(PARA-)HERMITIAN AND (PARA-)KÄHLER SUBMANIFOLDS OF A PARA-QUATERNIONIC KÄHLER MANIFOLD

MASSIMO VACCARO

Abstract: On a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold $(\widetilde{M}^{4n}, Q, \widetilde{g})$, which is first of all a pseudo-Riemannian manifold, a natural definition of (almost) Kähler and (almost) para-Kähler submanifold $(M^{2m}, \mathcal{J}, g)$ can be given where $\mathcal{J} = J_1|_M$ is a (para-)complex structure on M which is the restriction of a section J_1 of the para-quaternionic bundle Q. In this paper, we extend to such a submanifold M most of the results proved by Alekseevsky and Marchiafava, 2001, where Hermitian and Kähler submanifolds of a quaternionic Kähler manifold have been studied.

Conditions for the integrability of an almost (para-)Hermitian structure on M are given. Assuming that the scalar curvature of $\widetilde{M}$ is non zero, we show that any almost (para-)Kähler submanifold is (para-)Kähler and moreover that M is (para-)Kähler iff it is totally (para-)complex. Considering totally (para-)complex submanifolds of maximal dimension $2n$, we identify the second fundamental form h of M with a tensor $C = J_2 \circ h \in TM \otimes S^2T^*M$ where $J_2 \in Q$ is a compatible para-complex structure anticommuting with J_1. This tensor, at any point $x \in M$, belongs to the first prolongation $S_{\mathcal{J}}^{(1)}$ of the space $S_{\mathcal{J}} \subset \operatorname{End} T_xM$ of symmetric endomorphisms anticommuting with $\mathcal{J}$. When $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$ is a symmetric manifold the condition for a (para-)Kähler submanifold M^{2n} to be locally symmetric is given. In the case when $\widetilde{M}$ is a para-quaternionic space form, it is shown, by using Gauss and Ricci equations, that a (para-)Kähler submanifold M^{2n} is curvature invariant. Moreover it is a locally symmetric Hermitian submanifold iff the $\mathfrak{u}(n)$-valued 2-form $[C, C]$ is parallel. Finally a characterization of *parallel* Kähler and para-Kähler submanifold of maximal dimension is given.

1. Introduction

A pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M^{4n}, g) with the holonomy group contained in $Sp_1(\mathbb{R}) \cdot Sp_n(\mathbb{R})$ is called a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold. This means that there exists a 3-dimensional parallel subbundle $Q \subset EndTM$ of the bundle of endomorphisms which is locally generated by three skew-symmetric anticommuting endomorphisms I, J, K satisfying the following *para-quaternionic relations*

$$-I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = Id, \; IJ = -JI = K.$$

The subbundle $Q \subset End(TM)$ is called a para-quaternionic structure. Any para-quaternionic Kähler manifold is an Einstein manifold [3].

Date: January 20, 2012.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C40,53A35,53C15.

Key words and phrases. para-quaternionic Kähler manifold , (almost) Hermitian, (almost) Kähler submanifold, (almost) para-Hermitian, (almost) para-Kähler submanifold.

Work done under the programs of GNSAGA-INDAM of C.N.R. and PRIN07 "Riemannian metrics and differentiable structures" of MIUR (italy).

Let $\epsilon = \pm 1$; a submanifold $(M^{2m}, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon = J^\epsilon|_{TM}, g)$ of the para-quaternionic Kähler manifold $(\widetilde{M}^{4n}, Q, \widetilde{g})$, where $M \subset \widetilde{M}$ is a submanifold, the induced metric $g = \widetilde{g}|_M$ is non-degenerate, and J^ϵ is a section of the bundle $Q_{|M} \to M$ such that $J^\epsilon TM = TM,\ \ (J^\epsilon)^2 = \epsilon Id$, is called an *almost ϵ-Hermitian submanifold.*

An almost ϵ-Hermitian submanifold $(M^{2m}, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon, g)$ of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold $(\widetilde{M}^{4n}, Q, \widetilde{g})$ is called *ϵ-Hermitian* if the almost ϵ-complex structure $\mathcal{J}^\epsilon$ is integrable, *almost ϵ-Kähler* if the Kähler form $F = g \circ \mathcal{J}^\epsilon$ is closed and *ϵ-Kähler* if F is parallel. Note that ϵ-Kähler submanifolds are minimal ([2]).

We will always assume that $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$ *has non zero reduced scalar curvature* $\nu = scal/(4n(n+2))$.

In section 3 we study an almost ϵ-Hermitian submanifold $(M^{2m}, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon, g)$ of the para-quaternionic Kähler manifold $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$ and give the necessary and sufficient condition to be ϵ-Hermitian. If furthermore M is analytic, we show that a sufficient condition for integrability is that $\operatorname{codim} \overline{T_xM} > 2$ at some point $x \in M$ where by $\overline{T_xM}$ we denote the maximal Q_x-invariant subspace of T_xM. Then, as an application, we prove that, if the set U of points $x \in M$ where the Nijenhuis tensor of $\mathcal{J}^\epsilon$ of an almost ϵ-Hermitian submanifold of dimension $4k$ is not zero is open and dense in M and $\overline{T_xM}$ is non degenerate, then M is a para-quaternionic submanifold.

In fact, by extending a classical result of quaternionic geometry (see [1], [12]), we show that a non degenerate para-quaternionic submanifold of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold is totally geodesic, hence a para-quaternionic Kähler submanifold.

In section 4, we give two equivalent necessary and sufficient conditions for an almost ϵ-Hermitian manifold to be ϵ-Kähler. We prove that an almost ϵ-Kähler submanifold M^{2m} of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$ is ϵ-Kähler and, hence, a minimal submanifold (see [2]) and give some local characterizations of such a submanifold (Theorem 4.2). In Theorem 4.3 we prove that the second fundamental form h of a ϵ-Kähler submanifold M satisfies the fundamental identity

$$h(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon X, Y) = J^\epsilon h(X, Y) \qquad \forall\, X, Y \in TM$$

and that, conversely, if the above identity holds on an almost ϵ-Hermitian submanifold M^{2m} of $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$ then M^{2m} is either a ϵ-Kähler submanifold or a para-quaternionic (Kähler) submanifold and these cases cannot happen simultaneously. In particular, we prove that an almost ϵ-Hermitian submanifold M is ϵ-Kähler if and only if it is *totally ϵ-complex*, i.e. it satisfies the condition $J_2 T_xM \perp T_xM \quad \forall\, x \in M$, where $J_2 \in Q$ is a compatible para-complex structure anticommuting with J^ϵ.

In section 5, we study an ϵ-Kähler submanifold M of maximal dimension $2n$ in a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold $(\widetilde{M}^{4n}, Q, \widetilde{g})$ (still assuming $\nu \neq 0$). Using the field of isomorphisms $J_2 : TM \to T^\perp M$ between the tangent and the normal bundle, we identify, as in [5], the second fundamental form h of M with a tensor $C = J_2 \circ h \in TM \otimes S^2T^*M$. This tensor, at any point $x \in M$, belongs to the first prolongation $S^{(1)}_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon}$ of the space $S_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon} \subset \operatorname{End} T_xM$ of symmetric endomorphisms anticommuting with $\mathcal{J}^\epsilon$. Using the tensor C, we present the Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equations in a simple form and derive from it the necessary and sufficient conditions for the ϵ-Kähler submanifold M to be parallel and to be curvature invariant (i.e. $\widetilde{R}_{XY}Z \in TM,\ \forall\, X, Y, Z \in TM$). In subsection 5.4 we study a maximal ϵ-Kähler submanifold M of a (locally) symmetric para-quaternionic Kähler space $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$ and get the necessary and sufficient conditions for M to be a locally symmetric manifold in terms of the tensor C. In particular, if $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$ is a quaternionic space form, then the ϵ-Kähler submanifold M is curvature invariant. In this case, M is symmetric if and only if the 2-form

$$[C, C] : X \wedge Y \mapsto [C_X, C_Y] \qquad X, Y \in TM,$$

with values in the unitary algebra of the ϵ-Hermitian structure and that satisfies the first and the second Bianchi identity, is parallel. Moreover M is a totally ϵ-complex totally geodesic submanifold of the quaternionic space form $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$ if and only if

$$\mathrm{Ric}_M = \frac{\nu}{2}(n+1)g$$

(see Proposition 5.14).

In Section 6 we characterize a maximal ϵ-Kähler submanifold M of the para-quaternionic Kähler manifold $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$ with parallel non zero second fundamental form h, or shortly, *parallel ϵ-Kähler submanifold*. In terms of the tensor C, this means that

$$\nabla_X C = -\epsilon\omega(X)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon \circ C, \qquad X \in TM$$

where $\omega = \omega_1|_{TM}$ and ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of M. When $(M^{2n}, \mathcal{J}, g)$, where $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}^\epsilon, \epsilon = -1$, is a parallel not totally geodesic Kähler submanifold, the covariant tensor $g \circ C$ has the form $gC = q + \overline{q}$ where $q \in S^3(T_x^{*1,0}M)$ (resp. $\bar{q} \in S^3(T_x^{*0,1}M)$) is a holomorphic (resp. antiholomorphic) cubic form. We prove that any parallel, not totally geodesic, Kähler submanifold $(M^{2n}, \mathcal{J}, g)$ of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold $(\widetilde{M}^{4n}, Q, g)$ with $\nu \neq 0$ admits a pair of parallel holomorphic line subbundle $L = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(q)$ of the bundle $S^3T^{*1,0}M$ and $\overline{L} = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{q})$ of the bundle $S^3T^{*0,1}M$ such that the connection induced on L (resp. $\overline{L}$) has the curvature $R^L = -i\nu g \circ \mathcal{J} = -i\nu F$ (resp. $R^{\overline{L}} = i\nu g \circ \mathcal{J} = i\nu F$). In case $(M^{2n}, \mathcal{J}, g)$ where $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}^\epsilon, \epsilon = +1$, is a parallel not totally geodesic para-Kähler submanifold of $(\widetilde{M}^{4n}, Q, \widetilde{g})$ we have $gC = q^+ + q^- \in S^3(T^{*+}M) + S^3(T^{*-}M)$ where $TM = T^+ + T^-$ is the bi-Lagrangean decomposition of the tangent bundle. We prove that, in this case, the pair of real line subbundle $L^+ := \mathbb{R}q^+ \subset S^3(T^{*+}M)$ and $L^- := \mathbb{R}q^- \subset S^3(T^{*-}M))$ are globally defined on M and parallel w.r.t the Levi-Civita connection which defines a connection ∇^{L^+} on L^+ (resp. ∇^{L^-} on L^-) whose curvature is

$$R^{L^+} = \nu F, \quad (\text{resp.} \quad R^{L^-} = -\nu F).$$

2. Para-quaternionic Kähler manifolds

For a more detailed study of para-quaternionic Kähler manifolds see [15], [2], [9], [8], [14]. Moreover for a survey on para-complex geometry see [4], [7].

Definition 2.1. ([2]) *Let $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3) = (-1, 1, 1)$ or a permutation thereof. An **almost para-quaternionic structure** on a differentiable manifold $\widetilde{M}$ (of dimension $2m$) is a rank 3 subbundle $Q \subset End T\widetilde{M}$, which is locally generated by three anticommuting fields of endomorphism $J_1, J_2, J_3 = J_1J_2$, such that $J_\alpha^2 = \epsilon_\alpha Id$. Such a triple will be called a **standard basis** of Q. A linear connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ which preserves Q is called an **almost para-quaternionic connection**. An almost para-quaternionic structure Q is called a **para-quaternionic structure** if $\widetilde{M}$ admits a para-quaternionic connection i. e. a torsion-free connection which preserves Q. An*

(almost) para-quaternionic manifold *is a manifold endowed with an (almost) para-quaternionic structure.*

Observe that $J_\alpha J_\beta = \epsilon_3 \epsilon \gamma J_\gamma$ where (α, β, γ) is a cyclic permutation of (1,2,3).

Definition 2.2. ([2]) *An* ***(almost) para-quaternionic Hermitian manifold*** $(\widetilde{M}, Q, \widetilde{g})$ *is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold* $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ *endowed with an (almost) para-quaternionic structure* Q *consisting of skew-symmetric endomorphisms. The non degeneracy of the metric implies that* $\dim \widetilde{M} = 4n$ *and the signature of* $\widetilde{g}$ *is neutral.* $(\widetilde{M}^{4n}, Q, \widetilde{g})$, $n > 1$, *is called a* **para-quaternionic Kähler manifold** *if the Levi-Civita connection preserves* Q.

Proposition 2.3. ([3]) *The curvature tensor* $\widetilde{R}$ *of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold* $(\widetilde{M}, Q, \widetilde{g})$, *of dimension* $4n > 4$, *at any point admits a decomposition*

$$\widetilde{R} = \nu R_0 + W, \tag{1}$$

where $\nu = \frac{scal}{4n(n+2)}$ *is the reduced scalar curvature,*

$$R_0(X,Y) := \frac{1}{2}\sum_\alpha \epsilon_\alpha \widetilde{g}(J_\alpha X, Y) J_\alpha + \frac{1}{4}(X \wedge Y - \sum_\alpha \epsilon_\alpha J_\alpha X \wedge J_\alpha Y), \quad X, Y \in T_pM, \tag{2}$$

is the curvature tensor of the para-quaternionic projective space of the same dimension as $\widetilde{M}$ *and* W *is a trace-free* Q*-invariant algebraic curvature tensor, where* Q *acts by derivations. In particular,* $\widetilde{R}$ *is* Q*-invariant.*

We define a **para-quaternionic Kähler manifold of dimension 4** as a pseudo-Riemannian manifold endowed with a parallel skew-symmetric para-quaternionic Kähler structure whose curvature tensor admits the decomposition (1).

Since the Levi-Civita connections $\widetilde{\nabla}$ of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold preserves the para-quaternionic Kähler structure Q, one can write

$$\widetilde{\nabla} J_\alpha = -\epsilon_\beta \omega_\gamma \otimes J_\beta + \epsilon_\gamma \omega_\beta \otimes J_\gamma, \tag{3}$$

where the ω_α, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ are locally defined 1-forms and (α, β, γ) is a cyclic permutation of (1,2,3). We shall denote by $F_\alpha := \widetilde{g}(J_\alpha \cdot, \cdot)$ the **Kähler form** associated with J_α and put $F'_\alpha := -\epsilon_\alpha F_\alpha$.

We recall the expression for the action of the curvature operator $\widetilde{R}(X,Y)$, $X, Y \in T\widetilde{M}$ of $\widetilde{M}$, on J_α:

$$[\widetilde{R}(X,Y), J_\alpha] = \epsilon_3 \nu (-\epsilon_\beta F'_\gamma(X,Y) J_\beta + \epsilon_\gamma F'_\beta(X,Y) J_\gamma) \tag{4}$$

where (α, β, γ) is a cyclic permutation of (1,2,3).

Proposition 2.4. ([2]) *The locally defined Kähler forms satisfy the following structure equations*

$$\nu F'_\alpha := -\epsilon_\alpha \nu F_\alpha = \epsilon_3 (d\omega_\alpha - \epsilon_\alpha \omega_\beta \wedge \omega_\gamma), \tag{5}$$

where (α, β, γ) *is a cyclic permutation of (1,2,3).*

By taking the exterior derivative of (5) we get

$$\nu dF'_\alpha \quad = \epsilon_3 d(d\omega_\alpha - \epsilon_\alpha \omega_\beta \wedge \omega_\gamma) = -\epsilon_3(\epsilon_\alpha d\omega_\beta \wedge \omega_\gamma - \epsilon_\alpha \omega_\beta \wedge d\omega_\gamma) \ .$$

Since $d\omega_\beta = \epsilon_3 \nu F'_\beta + \epsilon_\beta \omega_\gamma \wedge \omega_\alpha$ and $d\omega_\gamma = \epsilon_3 \nu F'_\gamma + \epsilon_\gamma \omega_\alpha \wedge \omega_\beta$, we get

$$\nu dF'_\alpha = -\epsilon_3[(\epsilon_\alpha \epsilon_3 \nu F'_\beta \wedge \omega_\gamma) - (\epsilon_\alpha \omega_\beta \wedge \epsilon_3 \nu F'_\gamma,)]$$

that is $\nu[dF'_\alpha - \epsilon_\alpha(-F'_\beta \wedge \omega_\gamma + \omega_\beta \wedge F'_\gamma)] = 0$. Hence we have the following result.

Proposition 2.5. *On a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold the following integrability conditions hold*

$$\nu[dF'_\alpha - \epsilon_\alpha(-F'_\beta \wedge \omega_\gamma + \omega_\beta \wedge F'_\gamma)] = 0, \qquad (\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = \mathrm{cycl}(1,2,3). \tag{6}$$

3. ALMOST ϵ-HERMITIAN SUBMANIFOLDS OF $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$

The definition of an (almost) complex structure on a differentiable manifold and the condition for its integrability are well known. We just recall the following other definitions (see [2]).

Definition 3.1. *An* ***(almost)para-complex structure*** *on a differentiable manifold M is a field of endomorphisms $J \in EndTM$ such that $J^2 = Id$ and the ±1-eigenspace distributions $T^\pm M$ of J have the same rank. An almost para-complex structure is called* ***integrable****, or* ***para-complex structure****, if the distributions $T^\pm M$ are integrable or, equivalently, the Nijenhuis tensor N_J, defined by*

$$N_J(X,Y) = [JX,JY] - J[JX,Y] - J[X,JY] + [X,Y], \qquad X,Y \in TM$$

vanishes. An ***(almost)para-complex manifold*** (M,J) *is a manifold M endowed with an (almost) para-complex structure.*

Definition 3.2. *An* ***(almost) ϵ-complex structure*** *$\epsilon \in \{-1,1\}$ on a differentiable manifold M of dimension $2n$ is a field of endomorphisms $J \in EndTM$ such that $J^2 = \epsilon Id$ and moreover, for $\epsilon = +1$ the eigendistributions $T^\pm M$ are of rank n. An* ***ϵ-complex manifold*** *is a differentiable manifold endowed with an integrable (i.e. $N_J = 0$) ϵ-complex structure.*

Consequently, the notation (almost) ϵ-Hermitian structure, (almost) ϵ-Kähler structure, etc.. will be used with the same convention.

Let recall that a submanifold of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold is non degenerate if it has non degenerate tangent spaces.

Definition 3.3. *Let $(\widetilde{M}^{4n}, Q, \widetilde{g})$ be a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold. A $\widetilde{g}$-non degenerate submanifold M^{2m} of $\widetilde{M}$ is called an* ***almost ϵ-Hermitian submanifold*** *of $\widetilde{M}$ if there exists a section $J^\epsilon : M \to Q_{|M}$ such that*

$$J^\epsilon TM = TM \qquad (J^\epsilon)^2 = \epsilon Id.$$

We will denote such submanifold $(M^{2m}, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon, g)$ where $(g = \widetilde{g}|_M,\ \mathcal{J}^\epsilon = J^\epsilon|_M)$.

For a classification of almost (resp. para-)Hermitian manifolds see [13], (resp. [6],[11]).

Notice (see [20],[21],[22]) that in any point $x \in M$ the induced metric $g_x = <,>_x$ of an (almost) Hermitian submanifold has signature $2p, 2q$ with $p + q = m$ whereas the signature of the metric of an (almost) para-Hermitian submanifold is always neutral (m,m). In both cases then the induced metric is **pseudo**-Riemannian (and Hermitian). Keeping in mind this fact, we will not use the suffix "pseudo" in the following.

For any point $x \in M^{2m}$, we can always include J^ϵ into a local frame $(J_1 = J^\epsilon, J_2, J_3 = J_1J_2 = -J_2J_1)$ of Q defined in a neighbourhood $\widetilde{U}$ of x in $\widetilde{M}$ such that $J_2^2 = Id$. Such frame will be called **adapted** to the submanifold M and in fact, since our considerations are local, we will assume for simplicity that $\widetilde{U} \supset M^{2m}$ and put

$$F = F_{1|M} = g \circ \mathcal{J}^\epsilon, \qquad \omega = \omega_{1|M}\,.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\widetilde{\nabla} J^\epsilon = -\omega_3 \otimes J_2 - \epsilon\, \omega_2 \otimes J_3 \tag{7}$$

where $\widetilde{\nabla}$ indicates the Levi-Civita connection on $\widetilde{M}$, and in complex case ($\epsilon = -1$), from $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3) = (-1, 1, 1)$, we have $J_2 J_3 = -J_1,\ J_3 J_1 = J_2$ whereas in para-complex case, where $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3) = (1, 1, -1)$, we have $J_2 J_3 = -J_1,\ J_3 J_1 = -J_2$.

For any $x \in M$ we denote $\overline{T_x M}$ the maximal para-quaternionic (Q-invariant) subspace of the tangent space $T_x M$. Note that if (J_1, J_2, J_3) is an adapted basis in a point $x \in M$ then $\overline{T_x M} = T_x M \cap J_2 T_x M$.

We allow $\overline{T_x M}$ to be degenerate (even totally isotropic), hence its dimension is even (not necessarily a multiple of 4) and the signature of $g|_{\overline{T_x M}}$ is $(2k, 2s, 2k)$ where $2s = \dim \ker g$ (see [20]). We recall that a subspace of a para-quaternionic vector space (V, Q) is *pure* if it contains no non zero Q-invariant subspace. We write then

$$T_x M = \overline{T_x M} \oplus \mathcal{D}_x$$

where $\mathcal{D}_x$ is any $\mathcal{J}^\epsilon$-invariant pure supplement (the existence of such supplement is proved in [20]).

Recall that if M is a non degenerate submanifold of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ and $T_x \widetilde{M} = T_x M \oplus T_x^\perp M$ is the orthogonal decomposition of the tangent space $T_x \widetilde{M}$ at point $x \in M$ then the Levi-Civita covariant derivative $\widetilde{\nabla}_X$ of the metric $\widetilde{g}$ in the direction of a vector $X \in T_x M$ can be written as:

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_X & -A_X \\ A^t_X & \nabla^\perp_X \end{pmatrix}.$$

that is

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \qquad \widetilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -A^\xi X + \nabla^\perp_X \xi \tag{8}$$

for any tangent (resp. normal) vector field Y (resp. ξ) on M. Here ∇_X is the covariant derivative of the induced metric g on M, $\nabla^\perp_X$ is the normal covariant derivative in the normal bundle $T^\perp M$ which preserves the *normal metric* $g^\perp = \widetilde{g}|_{T^\perp M}$, $A^t_X Y = h(X, Y) \in T^\perp M$ where h is the **second fundamental form** and $A_X \xi = A^\xi X$, where $A^\xi \in \mathrm{End}\, TM$ is the **shape operator** associated with a normal vector ξ.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $(M^{2m}, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon, g)$, $m > 1$, be an almost ϵ-Hermitian submanifold of the para-quaternionic Kähler manifold $(\widetilde{M}^{4n}, Q, \widetilde{g})$. Then*

1. *the almost ϵ-complex structure $\mathcal{J}^\epsilon$ is integrable if and only if the local 1-form $\psi = \omega_3 \circ \mathcal{J}^\epsilon - \omega_2$ on M^{2m} associated with an adapted basis $H = (J_\alpha)$ vanishes.*
2. *$\mathcal{J}^\epsilon$ is integrable if one of the following conditions holds:*
   a) $dim(\mathcal{D}_x) > 2$ on an open dense set $U \subset M$;
   b) (M, J^ϵ) is analytic and $\dim(\mathcal{D}_x) > 2$ at some point $x \in M$;

Proof. (1) Let proceed as in [5], Theorem 1.1. Remark that if $(M, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon)$ is an almost ϵ-complex submanifold of an almost ϵ-complex manifold $(\widetilde{M}, J^\epsilon)$ then the restriction of the Nijenhuis tensor N_{J^ϵ} to the submanifold M coincides with the Nijenhuis tensor $N_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon}$ of the almost complex structure $\mathcal{J}^\epsilon = J^\epsilon{}_{|TM}$. Then for any $X, Y \in TM$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{1}{2}N_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon}(X,Y) &= [\mathcal{J}^\epsilon X, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y] - \mathcal{J}^\epsilon[\mathcal{J}^\epsilon X, Y] - \mathcal{J}^\epsilon[X, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y] + \epsilon[X,Y] = \\
\tfrac{1}{2}N_{J^\epsilon}(X,Y) &= [\widetilde{\nabla}_{J^\epsilon X}(J^\epsilon Y) - \widetilde{\nabla}_{J^\epsilon Y}(J^\epsilon X)] - J^\epsilon[\widetilde{\nabla}_{J^\epsilon X}Y - \widetilde{\nabla}_Y(J^\epsilon X)] \\
&\quad -J^\epsilon[\widetilde{\nabla}_X(J^\epsilon Y) - \widetilde{\nabla}_{J^\epsilon Y}X] + \epsilon[\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X] \\
&= (\widetilde{\nabla}_{J^\epsilon X}J^\epsilon)Y - (\widetilde{\nabla}_{J^\epsilon Y}J^\epsilon)X + J^\epsilon(\widetilde{\nabla}_Y J^\epsilon)X - J^\epsilon(\widetilde{\nabla}_X J^\epsilon)Y
\end{aligned}$$

and hence, from (3)

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{1}{2}N_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon}(X,Y) = \; & -[\omega_3(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon X) - \omega_2(X)]J_2 Y + [-\epsilon\omega_2(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon X) + \omega_3(X)]J_3 Y \\
& +[\omega_3(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y) - \omega_2(Y)]J_2 X - [-\epsilon\omega_2(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y) + \omega_3(Y)]J_3 X
\end{aligned}$$

where (J_1, J_2, J_3) is an adapted local basis. This implies (1) in one direction.

Viceversa, let $N_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon}(X,Y) = 0, \ \forall X, Y \in T_xM$. By applying J_2 to both members of the above equality, this is equivalent to the identity

$$\psi(X)Y + \epsilon\psi(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon X)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y = \psi(Y)X + \epsilon\psi(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon X, \quad \forall X, Y \in T_xM. \tag{9}$$

Let assume that there exists a non zero vector $X \in T_xM$ such that $\psi(X) \neq 0$. We show that this leads to a contradiction. Let consider a vector $0 \neq Y \in T_xM$ which is not en eigenvector of $\mathcal{J}^\epsilon$ and such that $span(X, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon X) \cap span(Y, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y) = 0$. It is easy to check that such a vector Y always exists. Then the vectors in both sides of (9) must be zero which implies in particular that $\psi(X) = 0$. Contradiction.

(2) We assume that $\mathcal{J}^\epsilon$ is not integrable. Then the 1-form $\psi = (\omega_3 \circ \mathcal{J}^\epsilon - \omega_2)|_{TM}$ is not identically zero, by (1). Denote by $a = g^{-1}\psi$ the local vector field on M associated with the 1-form ψ and let $a = \overline{a} + a'$ with $\overline{a} \in \overline{TM}$ and $a' \in \mathcal{D}$. Now we need the following

Lemma 3.5. *Let* $(M^{2m}, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon, g), m > 1$, *be an almost* ϵ*-Hermitian submanifold of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold* $(\widetilde{M}^{4n}, Q, \widetilde{g})$. *Then in any point* $x \in M^{2m}$ *where the Nijenhuis tensor* $N(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon)_x \neq 0$, *or equivalently the vector* $a_x \neq 0$, *any* $\mathcal{J}^\epsilon$*-invariant supplementary subspace* $\mathcal{D}_x$ *is spanned by* a'_x *and* $\mathcal{J}^\epsilon a'_x$*:*

$$\mathcal{D}_x = \operatorname{span}\{a'_x, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon a'_x\}.$$

Moreover if T_xM *is not para-quaternionic (i.e.* $\dim \mathcal{D}_x \neq 0$*) then* $\psi(\overline{T_xM}) \equiv 0$.

Proof. Remark that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{1}{2}N_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon}(X,Y) &= -\psi(X)J_2Y + \epsilon\psi(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon X)J_3Y + \psi(Y)J_2X - \epsilon\psi(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y)J_3X \\
&= -J_2\{\psi(X)Y + \epsilon\psi(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon X)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y - \psi(Y)X - \epsilon\psi(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon X\},
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

that is $N_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon}(X,Y) \in J_2TM \cap TM = \overline{TM}$ for any $X, Y \in TM$. Hence

$$\Big[\psi(X)Y + \epsilon\psi(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon X)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y - \psi(Y)X - \epsilon\psi(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon X\Big] \in \overline{TM} \quad \forall X, Y \in TM. \tag{11}$$

Taking $X \in \overline{T_xM}$ and $0 \neq Y \in \mathcal{D}_x$ the first two terms of (11) are in $\mathcal{D}_x$ and the last two in $\overline{T_xM}$. We conclude that $\psi(\overline{T_xM}) \equiv 0$ if $\dim \mathcal{D}_x \neq 0$. For $X = a = g^{-1}\psi$, since $g(a, J^\epsilon a) = 0$, the last condition says that

$$b_Y := |a|^2 Y - \psi(Y)a - \epsilon\psi(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon a \in \overline{TM} \qquad \forall\, Y \in TM$$

Considering the $\mathcal{D}$-component of the vector b_Y for $Y = \overline{Y} \in \overline{TM}$ and $Y = Y' \in \mathcal{D}$ respectively, we get the equations:

$$-\psi(\overline{Y})a' - \epsilon\psi(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon\overline{Y})\mathcal{J}^\epsilon a' = 0\,, \qquad \forall\, \overline{Y} \in \overline{TM} \tag{12}$$

$$|a|^2 Y' - \psi(Y')a' - \epsilon\psi(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y')\mathcal{J}^\epsilon a' = 0 \qquad \forall\, Y' \in \mathcal{D}. \tag{13}$$

The last equation shows that $\mathcal{D}_x = \{a', \mathcal{J}^\epsilon a'\}$ when $a \neq 0$ (whereas (12) confirms that $\psi(\overline{T_xM}) \equiv 0$ when $\dim \mathcal{D} \neq 0$). Observe that a' is never an eigenvector of the para-complex structure $\mathcal{J}$.

□

Continuing the proof of Theorem (3.4): The Lemma implies statements (2a) and (2b) since in the analytic case the set U of points where the analytic vector field $a \neq 0$ is open (complementary of the close set where $a = 0$) and dense (since otherwise it would exist an open set $\widetilde{U}$ with $a(\widetilde{U}) = 0$ which, by the analiticy of a it would imply $a = 0$ everywhere) and $\dim \mathcal{D}_x \leq 2$ on U. □

From (10) it follows the

Corollary 3.6. *In case T_xM is pure ϵ-complex i.e. $\overline{T_xM} = \mathbf{0}$ in an open dense set in M than the almost Hermitian submanifold is Hermitian.*

This is a generalization of the 2-dimensional case where clearly, by the non degeneracy hypotheses, T_xM is pure for any $x \in M$.

Definition 3.7. *A submanifold M of an almost para-quaternionic manifold $(\widetilde{M}, Q)$ is an **almost para-quaternionic submanifold** if its tangent bundle is Q-invariant. Then $(M, Q|_{TM})$ is an almost para-quaternionic manifold.*

The following proposition is the extension to the para-quaternionic case of a basic result in quaternionic case.

Proposition 3.8. *A non degenerate almost para-quaternionic submanifold M^{4m} of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold $(\widetilde{M}^{4n}, Q, \widetilde{g})$ is a totally geodesic para-quaternionic Kähler submanifold.*

Proof. Let A be the shape operator of the para-quaternionic submanifold. Then, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, $\xi \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{g}(A^\xi(J_\alpha X), Y) = -\widetilde{g}(\widetilde{\nabla}_{J_\alpha X}\xi, Y) = -\widetilde{g}(\widetilde{\nabla}_Y \xi, J_\alpha X)\\
&= \widetilde{g}(\xi, \widetilde{\nabla}_Y(J_\alpha X)) = \widetilde{g}(\xi, (\widetilde{\nabla}_Y \widetilde{J}_\alpha)X + \widetilde{J}_\alpha \widetilde{\nabla}_Y X).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{g}(\xi, \widetilde{J}_\alpha \widetilde{\nabla}_Y X) &= -\widetilde{g}(\widetilde{J}_\alpha \xi, \widetilde{\nabla}_Y X) = -\widetilde{g}(\widetilde{J}_\alpha \xi, \widetilde{\nabla}_X Y - [X, Y])\\
&= -\widetilde{g}(\widetilde{J}_\alpha \xi, \widetilde{\nabla}_X Y) = \widetilde{g}(\xi, \widetilde{J}_\alpha \widetilde{\nabla}_X Y) = \widetilde{g}(\xi, \widetilde{\nabla}_X(\widetilde{J}_\alpha Y) - (\widetilde{\nabla}_X \widetilde{J}_\alpha)Y)\\
&= \widetilde{g}(\xi, \widetilde{\nabla}_X(J_\alpha Y)) = -\widetilde{g}(\widetilde{\nabla}_X \xi, J_\alpha Y) = -\widetilde{g}(J_\alpha A^\xi X, Y)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\widetilde{g}(\xi, (\widetilde{\nabla}_Y \widetilde{J}_\alpha)X) = \widetilde{g}(\xi, -\epsilon_\beta \omega_\gamma(Y) J_\beta X + \epsilon_\gamma \omega_\beta(Y) J_\gamma) = 0$$

since $J_\beta X, J_\gamma X \in \Gamma(TM)$. It follows that $AJ_\alpha = -J_\alpha A, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3$. Computing $AJ_\alpha = -J_\alpha A = -\epsilon_3\epsilon_\alpha J_\beta J_\gamma A = -\epsilon_3\epsilon_\alpha A J_\beta J_\gamma = -(\epsilon_3\epsilon_\alpha)^2 A J_\alpha = -AJ_\alpha$ we get $A = 0$ i.e. $h = 0$. Now it is immediate to deduce that $(M^{4m}, Q|_{TM}, g)$ is also para-quaternionic Kähler. □

Corollary 3.9. *Let $(M^{4k}, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon, g)$ be an almost ϵ-Hermitian submanifold of dimension $4k$ of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$. Assume that the set U of points $x \in M$ where the Nijenhuis tensor of $\mathcal{J}^\epsilon$ is not zero is open and dense in M and that, $\forall x \in U$, $\overline{T_xM}$ is non degenerate. Then M is a totally geodesic para-quaternionic Kähler submanifold.*

Proof. As in [5] by taking into account that, by the non degeneracy hypotheses of $\overline{T_xM}$, it is necessarily $\dim \mathcal{D}_x = 0$. □

4. ALMOST ϵ-KÄHLER, ϵ-KÄHLER AND TOTALLY ϵ-COMPLEX SUBMANIFOLDS

Definition 4.1. *The almost ϵ-Hermitian submanifold $(M^{2m}, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon, g)$ of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold $(\widetilde{M}^{4n}, Q, \widetilde{g})$ is called* ***almost ϵ-Kähler*** *(resp.,* ***ϵ-Kähler****) if the Kähler form $F = F_1|_{TM} = g \circ \mathcal{J}^\epsilon$ is closed (resp. parallel). Moreover M is called* ***totally ϵ-complex*** *if*

$$J_2T_xM \perp T_xM \qquad\qquad \forall\, x \in M$$

where (J_1, J_2, J_3) is an adapted basis (note that $J_2T_xM \perp T_xM \Leftrightarrow J_3T_xM \perp T_xM$).

For a study of (almost)-Kähler and totally complex submanifolds of a quaternionic manifold see [5],[10],[17],[18].

In case $\widetilde{M}$ is the n-dimensional para-quaternionic numerical space $\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}^n$, the prototype of flat para-quaternionic Kähler spaces (see [21]), typical examples of such submanifolds are the flat Kähler (resp. para-Kähler) submanifolds $M^{2k} = \mathbb{C}^k$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^k$) obtained by choosing the first k para-quaternionic coordinates as complex (resp. para-complex) numbers and the remaining $n-k$ equals to zero. In case $\widetilde{M}^{4n} = \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}P^n$ is the para-quaternionic projective space endowed with the standard para-quaternionic Kähler metric (see [8]), examples of non flat Kähler (resp. para-Kähler) submanifolds are given by the immersions of the projective complex (resp. para-complex) spaces $\mathbb{C}P^{k-1}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}P^{k-1}$) induced by the immersions considered above in the flat case.

From (3) one has

$$(\nabla_X \mathcal{J}^\epsilon)Y = \big[-\omega_3(X)Id - \epsilon\omega_2(X)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon\big]\big[J_2Y\big]^T \qquad X, Y \in TM. \tag{14}$$

and then, by arguing as in [5], the following theorem is deduced.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $(\widetilde{M}^{4n}, Q, \widetilde{g})$ be a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold.*
1) A totally ϵ-complex submanifolds of $\widetilde{M}$ is ϵ-Kähler.
2) If $\nu \neq 0$, for an almost ϵ-Hermitian submanifold $(M^{2m}, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon, g)$, $m > 1$, of $\widetilde{M}$ the following conditions are equivalent:

k_1) *M is ϵ-Kähler,*
k_2) $\omega_2|_{T_xM} = \omega_3|_{T_xM} = 0 \qquad \forall\, x \in M$,
k_3) *M is totally ϵ-complex.*

Proof. The first statement follows from (14). The second statement is proved in [2] Proposition 20. □

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(\widetilde{M}^{4n}, Q, \widetilde{g})$ be a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold with non vanishing reduced scalar curvature ν and $(M^{2m}, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon, g)$ an almost ϵ-Hermitian submanifold of $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$.*

a) *If $(M^{2m}, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon, g)$ is ϵ-Kähler then the second fundamental form h of M satisfies the identity*

$$h(X, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y) = h(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon X, Y) = J^\epsilon h(X, Y) \qquad \forall\, X, Y \in TM. \tag{15}$$

In particular $h(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon X, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y) = \epsilon h(X, Y)$.

b) *Conversely, if the identity (15) holds on an almost ϵ-Hermitian submanifold M^{2m} of $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$ then it is either a ϵ-Kähler submanifold or a para-quaternionic (Kähler) submanifold and these cases cannot happen simultaneously.*

Proof. (a) Let $(M^{2m}, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon, g)$ be an almost ϵ-Hermitian submanifold of $\widetilde{M}$. By (3),

$$(\widetilde{\nabla}_X J^\epsilon)Y \begin{aligned}[t] &= (\nabla_X \mathcal{J}^\epsilon)Y + h(X, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y) - J^\epsilon h(X,Y) \\ &= -\omega_3(X)J_2 Y - \epsilon\omega_2(X)J_3 Y, \qquad X, Y \in TM. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

From Theorem (4.2), we get

$$0 = (\nabla_X \mathcal{J}^\epsilon)Y + h(X, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y) - J^\epsilon h(X,Y), \qquad \forall X, Y \in TM$$

and, from $(\nabla_X \mathcal{J}^\epsilon)Y = 0$ it is clear that if $(M, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon)$ is ϵ-Kähler then (15) holds.

(b) Conversely, let assume that (15) holds on the almost ϵ-Hermitian submanifold $(M, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon, g)$. Then for any $X, Y \in T_x M$, from (16) we have

$$(\nabla_X \mathcal{J}^\epsilon)Y = (\widetilde{\nabla}_X J^\epsilon)Y.$$

Hence, $\forall\, X, Y \in T_x M$,

$$(\nabla_X \mathcal{J}^\epsilon)Y = -\omega_3(X)J_2 Y - \epsilon\omega_2(X)J_3 Y = (-\omega_3(X)Id - \epsilon\omega_2(X)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon)J_2 Y \in T_x M.$$

Then, either $J_2 T_x M = T_x M$ i.e. $T_x M$ is a para-quaternionic vector space or $\omega_2|_x = \omega_3|_x = 0$ and by Theorem (4.2) the two conditions cannot happen simultaneously. The set $M_1 = \{x \in M \mid J_2 T_x M = T_x M\}$ is a closed subset and the complementary open subset $M_2 = \{x \in M \mid \omega_2|_x = \omega_3|_x = 0\}$ is a closed subset as well since, from Theorem (4.2), $M_2 = \{x \in M \mid J_2 T_x M \perp T_x M\}$. Then, either $M_2 = 0$ and $M = M_1$ is a para-quaternionic Kähler submanifold or $M_1 = 0$ and $M = M_2$ is ϵ-Kähler. □

Corollary 4.4. *A totally geodesic almost ϵ-Hermitian submanifold $(M, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon, g)$ of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold $(\widetilde{M}^{4n}, Q, \widetilde{g})$ with $\nu \neq 0$ is either a ϵ-Kähler submanifold or a para-quaternionic submanifold and these conditions cannot happen simultaneously.*

Proof. The statement follows directly from Theorem (4.3) since (15) certainly holds for a totally geodesic submanifold ($h = 0$). □

The following results have been proved in [2].

Proposition 4.5. ([2]) *The shape operator A of an ϵ-Kähler submanifold $(M^{2m}, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon, g)$ of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold $(\widetilde{M}^{4n}, Q, \widetilde{g})$ anticommutes with $\mathcal{J}^\epsilon$, that is $A\mathcal{J}^\epsilon = -\mathcal{J}^\epsilon A$.*

Corollary 4.6. ([2]) *Any ϵ-Kähler submanifold of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold is minimal.*

We conclude this section with the following result concerning almost ϵ-Kähler submanifolds.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $(\widetilde{M}^{4n}, Q, \widetilde{g})$ be a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold with non vanishing reduced scalar curvature ν. Then any almost ϵ-Kähler submanifold $(M^{2m}, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon, g)$ of $\widetilde{M}$ is ϵ-Kähler.*

Proof. By identity (6), the condition that the Kähler form $F = F_{1|_M}$ is closed can be written as

$$F_2^T \wedge \omega_3^T = \epsilon F_3^T \wedge \omega_2^T \,, \tag{17}$$

where $F_\alpha^T, \omega_\alpha^T$ are the restriction of the forms F_α, ω_α to M. We will prove that (17) implies integrability.

Let suppose that there exists a point x of the almost ϵ-Kähler submanifold M where $N_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon|_x} \neq 0$. From Lemma (3.5) then $\dim \mathcal{D}_x = 0$ or 2 and from (11) one has $\dim \overline{T_xM} > 0$.

Let first consider the case that $T_xM = \overline{T_xM}$. Observe that by hypotheses T_xM is non degenerate (than $\dim T_xM = 4k$). By applying (17) to the triple (X, J_2X, J_1X) for $X \in T_xM$ no eigenvector of any compatible para-complex structure in Q, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (F_2^T \wedge \omega_3^T)(X, J_2X, J_1X) = -\|X\|^2\omega_3^T(J_1X) = -\omega_3^T(J_1X) \\ = \quad & \epsilon(F_3^T \wedge \omega_2^T)(X, J_2X, J_1X) = \epsilon F_3^T(J_2X, J_1X)\omega_2^T(X) = -\|X\|^2\omega_2^T(X). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\omega_3^T = \epsilon\omega_2^T \circ \mathcal{J}^\epsilon$ and, from Theorem (3.4), it follows that $N_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon|_x} = 0$. Contradiction.

Let now suppose that codim $\overline{T_xM} = 2$. From Lemma (3.5) it is $\psi(\overline{T_xM}) = 0$. If $\overline{T_xM}$ is non degenerate, calculating both sides of equation (17) on vectors X, J_2X, Y, where X is a unit vector from $\overline{T_xM}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{D}_x$ is the $\mathcal{J}^\epsilon$-invariant orthogonal complement to $\overline{T_xM}$ in T_xM we get

$$(F_2^T \wedge \omega_3^T)(X, J_2X, Y) = \epsilon\omega_3^T(Y) = -(F_3^T \wedge \eta)(X, J_2X, Y) = 0.$$

Hence, $\omega_3^T(\mathcal{D}_x) = 0 = \omega_2^T(\mathcal{D}_x)$ which implies that $N_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon|_x} = 0$. Contradiction. In case that $\overline{T_xM}$ is degenerate (even totally isotropic) and $\dim \mathcal{D}_x = 2$ with $\mathcal{D}_x$ any $\mathcal{J}^\epsilon$-invariant complement to $\overline{T_xM}$ in T_xM, by evaluating (17) on the triple $(Y, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y, X)$ with $\{Y, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y\}$ any basis of $\mathcal{D}_x$ and $X \in \ker g_{\overline{T_xM}}$ it is

$$F_2^T \wedge \omega_3^T(X, Y, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y) = < J_2X, Y > \omega_3^T(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y) - < J_3Y, X > \omega_3^T(Y);$$

$$\epsilon(F_3^T \wedge \omega_2^T)(X, Y, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y) = \epsilon[< J_3X, Y > \omega_2^T(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y) - \epsilon < J_2Y, X > \omega_2^T(Y)]$$

i.e.

$$< J_2X, Y > [\omega_3(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y) - \omega_2(Y)] - < J_3Y, X > [\omega_3(Y) - \epsilon\omega_2(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y)] = 0.$$

Then, considering the non degeneracy of T_xM, the only solution is given by

$$[\omega_3^T \circ \mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y - \omega_2^T] = [\omega_3^T - \epsilon\omega_2^T \circ \mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y)] = 0, \quad \forall Y \in \mathcal{D}_x$$

i.e. $\psi(\mathcal{D}_x) = 0$ which leads again to the contradiction that $N_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon|_x} = 0$. □

We state the following corresponding result regarding quaternionic geometry:

Theorem 4.8. *Let $(\widetilde{M}^{4n}, Q, \widetilde{g})$ be a quaternionic Kähler manifold with non zero reduced scalar curvature ν. Then any almost Kähler submanifold $(M^{2m}, \mathcal{J}, g)$, $n \neq 2$ of $\widetilde{M}$ is Kähler.*

Proof. Here the condition for a submanifold to be almost-Kähler is given by the equation

$$F_2^T \wedge \omega_3^T = F_3^T \wedge \omega_2^T \,. \tag{18}$$

The result for dimension greater that 6 has been given in [5].

By applying the proof of our Theorem (4.7) to the other cases and considering that in quaternionic case the metric in each subspace of T_xM is positive definite, the conclusion follows. With respect to the para-quaternionic case the difference concerning the dimension 4 follows from the fact that, in a point $x \in M$ where the tangent space T_xM is a 4 dimensional (Euclidean) quaternionic vector space, the equation (18) admits the non trivial solution $(\omega_2^T, \omega_3^T = \omega_2^T \circ \mathcal{J})$ which does not imply $N_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon|_x} = 0$ that happens iff $\omega_3^T \circ \mathcal{J} - \omega_2^T = 0$. □

5. MAXIMAL ϵ-KÄHLER SUBMANIFOLDS OF A PARA-QUATERNIONIC KÄHLER MANIFOLD

5.1. **The shape tensor C of a ϵ-Kähler submanifold.** Let $(M^{2n}, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon, g)$ be a ϵ-Kähler submanifold of maximal possible dimension $2n$ of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold $(\widetilde{M}^{4n}, Q, \widetilde{g})$ with $\nu \neq 0$. We fix an adapted basis $(J_1 = J^\epsilon, J_2, J_3 = J_1J_2,\ J_1^2 = \epsilon Id, J_2^2 = Id,\ \mathcal{J}^\epsilon = J_1|_{TM})$ of Q and assume that it is defined on a neighbourhood of M^{2n} in $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$. From Theorem (4.2), the submanifold M is totally ϵ-complex. We have the orthogonal decomposition

$$T_x\widetilde{M} = T_xM \oplus J_2T_xM \qquad \forall\, x \in M. \tag{19}$$

Since $\omega_2|_{T_xM} = \omega_3|_{T_xM} = 0 \quad \forall\, x \in M$, then the following equations hold:

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X J_1 = 0 \quad , \quad \widetilde{\nabla}_X J_2 = \epsilon\omega(X)J_3 \quad , \quad \widetilde{\nabla}_X J_3 = \omega(X)J_2 \qquad \forall\, X \in TM \tag{20}$$

where $\omega = \omega_1|_{TM}$ is a 1-form. We identify the normal bundle $T^\perp M$ with the tangent bundle TM using J_2 (note that $J_2^{-1} = J_2$):

$$\varphi = J_2|_{T^\perp M} : \begin{array}{ccc} T_x^\perp M & \to & T_xM \\ \xi & \mapsto & J_2\xi\,. \end{array}$$

Then the second fundamental form h of M is identified with the tensor field

$$C = J_2 \circ h \in TM \otimes S^2T^*M$$

and the normal connection $\nabla^\perp$ on $T^\perp M$ is identified with a linear connection $\nabla^N = J_2 \circ \nabla^\perp \circ J_2$ on TM. We will call C the **shape tensor** of the ϵ-Kähler submanifold M. Note that C depends on the adapted basis (J_α) and it is defined only locally. We recall (see [21]) that the 3-dimensional vector space $Q_x \subset End(T_x\widetilde{M})$ has a natural pseudo-Euclidean norm defined by $L^2 = -||L||^2 Id,\ L \in Q$. W.r.t. the adapted basis above, if $L = aJ_1 + bJ_2 + cJ_3 \in Q$ then $||L||^2 = a^2 - b^2 - c^2$ if $\epsilon = -1$ and $||L||^2 = -a^2 - b^2 + c^2$ if $\epsilon = 1$. Then if (J'_α) is another adapted basis obtained by the pseudo-orthogonal transformation, represented in the base (J_1, J_2, J_3), by the following matrices $B_\epsilon \in SO(2,1)$

$$B_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & cos\theta & -sin\theta \\ 0 & sin\theta & cos\theta \end{pmatrix}, \qquad B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & cosh\theta & sinh\theta \\ 0 & sinh\theta & cosh\theta \end{pmatrix} \tag{21}$$

then the shape tensor transforms as

$$C \mapsto C' = J'_2 \circ h = \cos\theta C + \sin\theta \mathcal{J}^\epsilon \circ C \quad \text{(resp. } C' = \cosh\theta C + \sinh\theta \mathcal{J}^\epsilon \circ C)\,.$$

In the following $(E_i),\ i = 1, \ldots, 2n$ will be an orthonormal basis of T_xM and we will use the notation $\mu_i =< E_i, E_i >$.

Lemma 5.1. *One has*

(1) *For any* $X \in TM$ *the endomorphism* C_X *of* TM *is symmetric and* $C_X = -A^{\varphi^{-1}X} = -A^{J_2X}$ *where* A^ξ *is the shape operator, defined in* (8) *(Note that* $C_{J_2\xi} = -A^\xi \quad , \forall\, \xi \in T^\perp M$*).*

(2) $\nabla^N_X = \nabla_X - \epsilon\omega(X)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon, \qquad X \in TM.$

(3) *The curvature of the connection* ∇^N *is given by*

$$R^N_{XY} = R_{XY} - \epsilon d\omega(X,Y)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon.$$

(4) $\{C_X, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon\} = C_X \circ \mathcal{J}^\epsilon + \mathcal{J}^\epsilon \circ C_X = 0$ *and hence* $tr\, C = \sum_{2n} \mu_i C_{E_i} E_i = 0.$

(5) *The tensors* gC *and* $gC \circ \mathcal{J}^\epsilon$ *defined by*

$$gC(X,Y,Z) = g(C_XY, Z), \qquad\qquad (gC \circ \mathcal{J}^\epsilon)(X,Y,Z) = gC(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon X, Y, Z)$$

are symmetric, i.e. both gC *and* $gC \circ \mathcal{J}^\epsilon \in S^3T^*M$.

Proof. (1) Using (19) and (20), for any $X, Y, Z \in TM$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle C_XZ, Y\rangle &= \langle J_2 \circ h(X,Z), Y\rangle = -\langle h(X,Z), J_2Y\rangle = -\langle \widetilde{\nabla}_X(Z), J_2Y\rangle \\ &= \langle \widetilde{\nabla}_X(J_2Y), Z\rangle = \langle (\widetilde{\nabla}_X J_2)Y + J_2\widetilde{\nabla}_XY, Z\rangle \\ &= \langle \epsilon\omega(X)J_3Y + J_2\widetilde{\nabla}_XY, Z\rangle = \langle J_2\widetilde{\nabla}_XY, Z\rangle \\ &= -\langle \widetilde{\nabla}_XY, J_2Z\rangle = -\langle h(X,Y), J_2Z\rangle = \langle C_XY, Z\rangle\,. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for any $X, Y, Z \in TM$,

$$\langle -A^{J_2X}Y, Z\rangle = -\langle h(Y,Z), J_2X\rangle = \langle J_2h(Y,Z), X\rangle = \langle C_YZ, X\rangle = \langle Z, C_XY\rangle.$$

This implies that $C_X = -A^{J_2X}$.

(2) Denoting by $[\;]^\perp$ the projection on $T^\perp M$ of a vector in $\widetilde{TM}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^N_XY &= J_2\nabla^\perp_X(J_2Y) = J_2[\widetilde{\nabla}_X(J_2Y)]^\perp = J_2[(\widetilde{\nabla}_XJ_2)Y + J_2\widetilde{\nabla}_XY]^\perp \\ &= J_2[(\epsilon\omega(X)J_3Y + J_2(\nabla_XY + h(X,Y))]^\perp = -\epsilon\omega(X)JY + \nabla_XY. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (3)\ R^N_{XY}Z &= [\nabla_X - \epsilon\omega(X)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon, \nabla_Y - \epsilon\omega(Y)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon](Z) - \nabla_{[X,Y]}Z + \epsilon\omega([X,Y])\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Z \\ &= R_{XY}Z + \nabla_X[-\epsilon\omega(Y)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Z] - \epsilon\omega(X)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon\nabla_YZ + \omega(X)\omega(Y)\mathcal{J}^{\epsilon 2}Z + \\ &\quad\ \epsilon\nabla_Y[\omega(X)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Z] + \epsilon\omega(Y)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon\nabla_XZ - \omega(X)\omega(Y)\mathcal{J}^{\epsilon 2}Z + \epsilon\omega([X,Y])\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Z \\ &= R_{XY}Z - \epsilon\{X \cdot \omega(Y) - Y \cdot \omega(X) - \omega([X,Y])\}\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Z \\ &= R_{XY}Z - \epsilon d\omega(X,Y)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Z. \end{aligned}$$

(4) By using (15) we get

$$C_X(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y) = J_2h(X, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon Y) = J_2J^\epsilon h(X,Y) = -\mathcal{J}^\epsilon C_XY\,.$$

Since $C_X = -\mathcal{J}^\epsilon \circ C_X \circ \mathcal{J}^{\epsilon -1}$, then $tr\, C_X = 0 \;\; \forall X \in TM$, which implies $tr\, C = 0$.

(5) The first statement follows from (1) and the symmetry of h. Using (4) we prove the second one:

$$\begin{aligned} (gC \circ \mathcal{J}^\epsilon)(X,Y,Z) &= gC(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon X, Y, Z) = \langle C_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon X}Y, Z\rangle = \langle C_Y(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon X), Z\rangle \\ &= -\langle \mathcal{J}^\epsilon C_YX, Z\rangle = \langle C_YX, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon Z\rangle = \langle C_Y(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Z), X\rangle \\ &= \langle C_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Z}Y, X\rangle = (gC \circ \mathcal{J}^\epsilon)(Z,Y,X). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover from (1) it is $(gC \circ \mathcal{J}^\epsilon)(X,Y,Z) = (gC \circ \mathcal{J}^\epsilon)(X,Z,Y)$. □

We denote by ∇' the linear connection in a tensor bundle which is a tensor product of a tangent tensor bundle of M and a normal tensor bundle whose connections are respectively ∇ and $\nabla^\perp$. For example, if k is a section of the bundle

$T^\perp M \otimes S^2 T^* M$ then $(\nabla'_X k)(Y,Z) = \nabla^\perp_X(k(Y,Z)) - k(\nabla_X Y, Z) - k(Y, \nabla_X Z)$. By using (2) of Lemma (5.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(\nabla'_X h)(Y,Z) &= J_2\{\nabla^\perp_X[h(Y,Z)] - h(\nabla_X Y, Z) - h(Y, \nabla_X Z\} = \\ &= \nabla^N_X[J_2 h(Y,Z)] - C_{\nabla_X Y} Z - C_Y \nabla_X Z \\ &= (\nabla^N_X C)_Y Z + C_{\nabla^N_X Y} Z + C_Y \nabla^N_X Z - C_{\nabla_X Y} Z - C_Y \nabla_X Z \end{aligned}$$

hence for the covariant derivative of the second fundamental form we have:

$$J_2(\nabla'_X h)(Y,Z) = (\nabla^N_X C)_Y Z + 2\epsilon\omega(X)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon C_Y Z = (\nabla_X C)_Y Z + \epsilon\omega(X)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon C_Y Z. \tag{22}$$

Denote by $S_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon} = \{A \in \mathrm{End}TM, \{A, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon\} = 0,\ g(AX,Y) = g(X,AY)\}$ the bundle of symmetric endomorphisms of TM, which anticommutes with J and by $S^{(1)}_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon} = \{A \in \mathrm{Hom}(TM, S_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon}) = T^*M \otimes S_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon},\ A_X Y = A_Y X\}$ its first prolongation. Then conditions (4), (5) can be reformulated as follows.

Corollary 5.2. *The tensor $C = J_2 h$ belongs to the space $S^{(1)}_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon}$ and its covariant derivative is given by*

$$\nabla_X C = J_2 \nabla'_X h - \epsilon\omega(X)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon \circ C\,.$$

5.2. **Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equations.** Let M be a submanifold of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold $\widetilde{M}$ and $\widetilde{R}_{XY} = R^{TT}_{XY} + R^{\perp T}_{XY} + R^{T\perp}_{XY} + R^{\perp\perp}_{XY}$ the decomposition of the curvature operator $\widetilde{R}_{XY}, X, Y \in T_x M$ of the manifold $\widetilde{M}$ according to the decomposition

$$\mathrm{End}(T_x\widetilde{M}) = \mathrm{End}(T_x M) + \mathrm{Hom}(T_x M, T^\perp_x M) + \mathrm{Hom}(T^\perp_x M, T_x M) + \mathrm{End}(T^\perp_x M).$$

Using (8) and calculating the curvature operator $\widetilde{R}_{XY} = [\widetilde{\nabla}_X, \widetilde{\nabla}_Y] - \widetilde{\nabla}_{[X,Y]}$ of the connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$, we get the following **Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equations**:

$$\begin{array}{llll}
\text{(Gauss)} & R^{\top\top}_{XY} & = R_{XY} - A_X A^t_Y + A_Y A^t_X & (\top\top) \\
 & & = R_{XY} - \sum_i \kappa_i A^{\xi_i} X \wedge A^{\xi_i} Y & \\
\text{(Codazzi 1)} & R^{\perp\top}_{XY} & = h_X \nabla_Y - h_Y \nabla_X + \nabla^\perp_X h_Y - \nabla^\perp_Y h_X - h_{[X,Y]} & (\perp\top) \\
 & R^{\perp\top}_{XY} Z & = (\nabla'_X h)(Y,Z) - (\nabla'_Y h)(X,Z) & \\
\text{(Codazzi 2)} & R^{\top\perp}_{XY} & = -h^t_X \nabla^\perp_Y - \nabla_X h^t_Y + h^t_Y \nabla^\perp_X + \nabla_Y h^t_X + h^t_{[X,Y]} & (\top\perp) \\
 & R^{\top\perp}_{XY}\eta & = -(\nabla_X A^\eta - A^{\nabla^\perp_X \eta})Y + (\nabla_Y A^\eta - A^{\nabla^\perp_Y \eta})X & \\
 & & = (\nabla'_X h^t)(Y,\eta) - (\nabla'_Y h^t)(X,\eta) & \\
\text{(Ricci)} & R^{\perp\perp}_{XY} & = R^\perp_{XY} - h_X \circ h^t_Y + h_Y \circ h^t_X & (\perp\perp) \\
 & & = R^\perp(X,Y) - \sum_{a,b} \kappa_a \kappa_b < A^{\xi_a} X, A^{\xi_b} Y > \xi_a \wedge \xi_b & \\
 & & = R^\perp(X,Y) - \sum_{a,b} \kappa_a \kappa_b < [A^{\xi_a}, A^{\xi_b}]X, Y > \xi_a \wedge \xi_b & \\
 & R^{\perp\perp}_{XY}\eta & = R^\perp_{XY}\eta - \sum_i \kappa_i \langle X, [A^{\xi_i}, A^\eta] Y\rangle \xi_i &
\end{array}$$

where ξ_i is an orthonormal basis of $T^\perp M$ and $\kappa_i =< \xi_i, \xi_i >$, $X, Y \in TM$, $\eta \in T^\perp M$, R, $R^\perp$ are the curvature tensors of the connections ∇ and $\nabla^\perp$. We identify a bivector $X \wedge Y$ with the skew-symmetric operator $Z \mapsto \langle Y, Z\rangle X - \langle X, Z\rangle Y$ and denote by $h^t_X : T^\perp M \to TM$ the adjoint operator of $h_X = h(X, \cdot) : TM \to T^\perp M$. We recall that shape operator and second fundamental form satisfy $A^\eta X = h^t_X \eta$, ($AX = h^t_X$) or, equivalently, $< A^\eta X, Y > = < h(X,Y), \eta >$.

Definition 5.3. *Let M be a submanifold M of a Riemannian manifold $\widetilde{M}$. Then*

1. *M is called* ***curvature invariant*** *if $\widetilde{R}_{XY} Z \in TM \quad , \quad \forall\, X, Y, Z \in TM$, or equivalently, $R^{\top\perp} = R^{\perp\top} = 0$.*

(2) *M is called* ***strongly curvature invariant*** *if it is curvature invariant and moreover $\widetilde{R}_{\xi\eta}\zeta \in T^{\perp}M \quad , \quad \forall\, \xi, \eta, \zeta \in T^{\perp}M$.*
(3) *M is called* ***parallel*** *if the second fundamental form is parallel: $\nabla' h = 0$.*

Let us recall the following known result.

Proposition 5.4. *A parallel submanifold M of a locally symmetric manifold $\widetilde{M}$ is curvature invariant and locally symmetric.*

Proof. First statement follows from $(\perp\top)$. For the second statement observe that $\widetilde{R}|_{T_xM} = R^{TT} + R^{\perp T} = R^{TT}$. Then $0 = \widetilde{\nabla}\widetilde{R} = \nabla R^{TT}$. It follows

$$0 = \nabla(R^{TT})(X,Y) = (\nabla R)_{XY} - \nabla(h^t_X \circ h_Y) + \nabla(h^t_Y \circ h_X) \quad \forall X, Y \in TM$$

which implies $\nabla R = 0$. ☐

5.3. **Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equations for a ϵ-Kähler submanifold.** By specifying the previous formulas to a totally ϵ-complex submanifold and using Lemma (5.1) and (22) we get the following

Proposition 5.5. *The Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equations for a maximal totally ϵ-complex submanifold $(M^{2n}, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon, g)$ of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold $(\widetilde{M}^{4n}, Q, \widetilde{g})$ can be written as*

(1) $R^{TT}_{XY} = R_{XY} + [C_X, C_Y]$
(2) $J_2 R^{\perp\perp}_{XY} J_2 = R^N_{XY} + [C_X, C_Y] = R_{XY} + [C_X, C_Y] - \epsilon d\omega(X,Y)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon$
(3) $J_2 R^{\perp T}_{XY} = P_{XY} - P_{YX}$

where (J_α) is an adapted basis of $(M^{2n}, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon)$, $C = J_2 h$ is the shape tensor and $P_{XY} := (\nabla_X C)_Y + \epsilon\omega(X)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon \circ C_Y \in S_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon}$.

Proof. We prove the first two equations since the third comes directly from (22)

$$\begin{aligned} R^{TT}_{XY} &= R_{XY} - A_X A^t_Y + A_Y A^t_X = R_{XY} + C_{J_2 A^t_Y} X - C_{J_2 A^t_X} Y \\ &= R_{XY} + C_{J_2 h_Y} X - C_{J_2 h_X} Y = R_{XY} + C_{C_Y} X - C_{C_X} Y = R_{XY} + [C_X, C_Y] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 R^{\perp\perp}_{XY} J_2^{-1} &= J_2(\nabla^{\perp}_X J_2^2 \nabla^{\perp}_Y) J_2 - J_2(\nabla^{\perp}_Y J_2^2 \nabla^{\perp}_X) J_2 - J_2 \nabla^{\perp}_{[X,Y]} J_2 \\ &\quad + J_2 h_Y A_X J_2 - J_2 h_X A_Y J_2 = R^N_{XY} - J_2 h_Y C_X + J_2 h_X C_Y \\ &= R^N_{XY} - C_Y C_X + C_X C_Y = R^N_{XY} + [C_X, C_Y] \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove that $P_X Y \in S_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon}$. Let $X, Y, Z, T \in T_x M$. From (22), $P_{XY} = J_2(\nabla'_X h)_Y$ and computing

$$\begin{aligned} &< P_{XY}\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Z, T > = < J_2(\nabla'_X h)_Y \mathcal{J}^\epsilon Z, T > \\ &= < J_2 \widetilde{\nabla}_X [h(Y, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon Z), T > - < J_2 h(\nabla_X Y, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon Z), T > - < J_2 h(Y, \nabla_X(\mathcal{J}^\epsilon Z)), T > \\ &= < J_2 J_1 \nabla^{\perp}_X [h(Y,Z)], T > - < J_2 J_1 [h(\nabla_X Y, Z)], T > - < J_2 J_1 [h(Y, \nabla_X Z)], T > \\ &= - < P_{XY} Z, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon T > \end{aligned}$$

Being $-R^{T\perp}_{XY}$ the adjoint of $R^{\perp T}_{XY}$, the operator $R^{T\perp}_{XY} J_2$ is the adjoint of $J_2 R^{\perp T}_{XY}$. ☐

Corollary 5.6. *The Ricci tensor Ric_M of the ϵ-Kähler submanifold $M^{2n} \subset \widetilde{M}^{4n}$ is given by*

$$\mathrm{Ric}_M = \mathrm{Ric}(R^{TT}) + \mathrm{tr}_g \langle C_{.}, C_{.} \rangle = \mathrm{Ric}(R^{TT}) + \langle \textstyle\sum_i \mu_i C^2_{E_i} \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$

or, more precisely,

$$\mathrm{Ric}_M(X,Y) = \mathrm{Ric}(R^{TT})(X,Y) + \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{2n} \mu_i \langle C_{E_i} X, C_{E_i} Y \rangle \qquad X, Y \in TM$$

where $\mathrm{Ric}(R^{TT})$ is the Ricci tensor of the tangential part R^{TT} of $\widetilde{R}$, that is $\mathrm{Ric}(R^{TT})(X,Y) = \mathrm{tr}(Z \mapsto R^{TT}_{ZX} Y)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} Ric(X,Y) &= \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{2n}\mu_i\{g(R^{TT}(E_i,X)Y,E_i)-g([C_{E_i},C_X]Y,E_i)\}\\ &= Ric(R^{TT})(X,Y)-\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{2n}\mu_i\{g(C_{E_i}C_XY,E_i)-g(C_XC_{E_i}Y,E_i)\}\\ &= Ric(R^{TT})(X,Y)-\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{2n}\mu_i g(C_{E_i}E_i,C_XY)+\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{2n}\mu_i g(C_{E_i}Y,C_{E_i}X)\\ &= Ric(R^{TT})(X,Y)+\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{2n}\mu_i g(C_{E_i}X,C_{E_i}Y) \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 5.7. *Let M^{2n} be a ϵ-Kähler submanifold of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$. Then*

1. *M^{2n} is parallel if and only if $P_{XY} := (\nabla_X C)_Y + \epsilon\omega(X)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon \circ C_Y = 0$;*
2. *M^{2n} is curvature invariant if and only if the tensor P_{XY} belongs to*

$$S^{(2)}_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon} = \{A \in Hom(TM, S^{(1)}_{\mathcal{J}^\epsilon}), A_{XY} = A_{YX}\}.$$

Then M^{2n} is strongly curvature invariant.

Proof. 1) Follows from (22). First statement of 2) follows from (3) of Proposition (5.5). To prove the last statement, we use the general identity for $\widetilde{R}$ of $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$ $<\widetilde{R}(J^\epsilon X, J^\epsilon Y)J^\epsilon T, J^\epsilon Z> = <\widetilde{R}(X,Y)T,Z>$ (it follows from repeated applications of (4)). By the curvature invariance and since $J_2T_xM = T_x^\perp M, \forall x \in M$, it is $0 = <\widetilde{R}(X,Y)Z,\xi> = <\widetilde{R}(J_2X,J_2Y)J_2Z,J_2\xi>, \quad X,Y,Z \in TM,\ \xi \in T^\perp M$. Then $\widetilde{R}_{\xi\eta}\zeta \in T^\perp M, \quad \forall \xi,\eta,\zeta \in T^\perp M$. □

Proposition 5.8. *For a ϵ-Kähler submanifold $(M^{2n},\mathcal{J}^\epsilon,g)$ of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$,we have:*

$$R^{\perp\perp}_{XY} = J_2R^{TT}_{XY}J_2 + \epsilon\nu F(X,Y)J^\epsilon \tag{23}$$

i.e Ricci equation follows from Gauss one. Moreover

$$d\omega(X,Y) = \nu F(X,Y).$$

Proof. By proposition (2.3), the fact that $[J_\alpha,J_\beta] = 2\epsilon_3\epsilon_\gamma J_\gamma$ and from (4) one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J_2R^{\perp\perp}_{XY}J_2U,V\rangle &= \langle J_2\widetilde{R}_{XY}J_2U,V\rangle = \langle J_2\{[\widetilde{R}_{XY},J_2]U + J_2\widetilde{R}_{XY}U\},V\rangle\\ &= \langle \widetilde{R}_{XY}U,V\rangle + \epsilon_3\nu\langle J_2(-F_1(X,Y)J_3 + F_3(X,Y)J_1)U,V\rangle\\ &= \langle R^{TT}_{XY}U,V\rangle - \epsilon\nu\langle F(X,Y)J_1U,V\rangle, \qquad X,Y,U,V \in TM, \end{aligned}$$

that is (23). Since $J_2R^{\perp\perp}_{XY}J_2 = R^{TT}_{XY} - \epsilon d\omega(X,Y)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon$, the last identity follows. □

5.4. **Maximal ϵ-Kähler submanifolds of a para-quaternionic symmetric space.** Now we assume that the manifold $(\widetilde{M}^{4n},\widetilde{g})$ is a (locally) symmetric manifold, i.e. $\widetilde{\nabla}\widetilde{R} = 0$. By adapting the proof of Proposition 2.10 in [5] to the para-quaternionic case, we can state the following

Proposition 5.9. *Let $(M^{2n},\mathcal{J}^\epsilon,g)$ be an ϵ-Kähler submanifold of a para-quaternionic locally symmetric space $(\widetilde{M}^{4n},Q,\widetilde{g})$. Then the covariant derivatives of the tangential part R^{TT}, the normal part $R^{\perp\perp}$ and mixed part $R^{\perp T}$ of the curvature tensor $\widetilde{R}_{|M}$ can be expressed in terms of these tensors and the shape operator $C = J_2 \circ h$ as follows:*

(24)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle(\nabla_X R^{TT})(Y,Z)U,V\rangle &= +\langle R^{\perp T}(Y,Z)U,J_2C_XV\rangle - \langle R^{\perp T}(Y,Z)V,J_2C_XU\rangle\\ &\quad +\langle J_2R^{\perp T}(U,V)C_XY,Z\rangle + \langle R^{\perp T}(U,V)Y,J_2C_XZ\rangle \end{aligned}$$

(25)
$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla'_X R^{\perp T})(Y,Z)U &= -J_2C_XR^{TT}(Y,Z)U - R^{\perp\perp}(Y,Z)J_2C_XU\\ &+[\widetilde{R}(J_2C_XY,Z)U + \widetilde{R}(Y,J_2C_XZ)U]^{\perp}\\ &= -J_2C_XR^{TT}(Y,Z)U - J_2R^{TT}(Y,Z)C_XU\\ &+\nu\epsilon F(Y,Z)J_3C_XU + [\widetilde{R}(J_2C_XY,Z)U + \widetilde{R}(Y,J_2C_XZ)U]^{\perp}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla'_X R^{T\perp})(Y,Z)\xi = \ &+\left[\widetilde{R}(J_2C_XY,Z)\xi + \widetilde{R}(Y,J_2C_XZ)\xi\right]^T\\ &+R^{TT}(Y,Z)C_{J_2\xi}X - C_XJ_2R^{\perp\perp}(Y,Z)\xi\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

(27)
$$\begin{aligned}\langle(\nabla'_X R^{\perp\perp})(Y,Z)J_2U,J_2V\rangle = \ & \langle R^{\perp T}(U,V)Z,J_2C_XY\rangle - \langle R^{\perp T}(U,V)Y,J_2C_XZ\rangle\\ &+\langle R^{\perp T}(Y,Z)C_UX,J_2V\rangle + \langle C_XR^{T\perp}(Y,Z)J_2U,V\rangle\end{aligned}$$

for any $X,Y,Z,U,V \in TM$ *,* $\xi \in T^{\perp}M$*.*

By (24) and (25) we get immediately the following result.

Proposition 5.10. *If the* ϵ*-Kähler submanifold* $M^{2n} \subset \widetilde{M}^{4n}$ *is curvature invariant then the tensor field* R^{TT} *is parallel i.e.* $\nabla R^{TT} = 0$ *and satisfies the identity*

$$\begin{aligned}C_XR^{TT}(Y,Z) + R^{TT}(Y,Z)C_X + \nu\epsilon F(Y,Z)J^{\epsilon}C_X&\\ = \left[J_2(\widetilde{R}(J_2C_XY,Z) + \widetilde{R}(Y,J_2C_XZ))\right]^{TT}&\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where $(A)^{TT}$ *denotes the* $\mathrm{End}(T_xM)$ *component of an endomorphism* A *of* $T_x\widetilde{M}$*.*

Denote by $[C,C]$ the $\mathrm{End}(T_xM)-$valued 2-form, given by

$$[C,C](X,Y) = [C_X,C_Y] \qquad\qquad \forall\, X,Y \in TM.$$

(One can easily check that it is globally defined on M).

For a subspace $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{End}(T_xM)$ we define the space $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{G})$ of the *curvature tensors of type* $\mathcal{G}$ by

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{G}) = \{R \in \mathcal{G} \otimes \Lambda^2T_x^*M \quad | \quad \mathrm{cycl}\ R(X,Y)Z = 0,\ \forall\, X,Y,Z \in T_xM\}$$

where cycl is the sum of cyclic permutations of X,Y,Z.

Let denote by $\mathfrak{u}^{\epsilon}_{p,q}$ the Lie algebra of the unitary Lie group of automorphisms of the Hermitian (para)-complex structure $(\mathcal{J}^{\epsilon},g)$ where (p,q) corresponds to the signature of g. As a Corollary of Propositions (5.9) and (5.5) (1) we have the following

Proposition 5.11. *Under the assumptions of Proposition (5.10) the tensor field* $[C,C] = R^{TT} - R$ *belongs to the space* $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{u}^{\epsilon}_{p,q})$ *and satisfies the second Bianchi identities:*

$$\mathrm{cycl}\,\nabla_Z[C_X,C_Y] = 0.$$

Proof. The tensor $[C,C]$ satisfies the first Bianchi identity since R and R^{TT} do it. Moreover $\mathcal{J}^{\epsilon}\circ[C_X,C_Y] = -C_X\circ\mathcal{J}^{\epsilon}C_Y + C_Y\circ\mathcal{J}^{\epsilon}C_X = C_XC_Y\circ\mathcal{J}^{\epsilon} - C_YC_X\circ\mathcal{J}^{\epsilon} = [C_X,C_Y]\circ\mathcal{J}^{\epsilon}$ i.e. $[C_X,C_Y]$ commutes with $\mathcal{J}^{\epsilon}$. Furthermore, by the symmetry of C_X, $<[C_X,C_Y]Z,T> = <C_YZ,C_XT> - <C_XZ,C_YT> = <Z,[C_Y,C_X]T>$ that is $[C_X,C_Y]$ is skew-symmetric with respect to the metric $g = <\ ,\ >$. Then the tensor $[C,C]$ belongs to the space $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{u}^{\epsilon}_{p,q})$ of the $\mathfrak{u}^{\epsilon}_{p,q}$-curvature tensors. The last statement follows from remark that $\mathrm{cycl}\,\nabla_Z[C_X,C_Y] = \mathrm{cycl}\,\nabla_Z(R^{TT} + R)$. But $\nabla R^{TT} = 0$ and R satisfies the second Bianchi identity. □

As another Corollary of Proposition (5.9) we get the following result.

Proposition 5.12. *A maximal ϵ-Kähler submanifold M^{2n} of a locally symmetric para-quaternionic Kähler manifold $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$ is locally symmetric (that is $\nabla R = 0$) if and only if the following identity holds:*
(29)
$$\begin{aligned}\langle \nabla_X [C,C]_{Y,Z} U, V\rangle &= \langle R^{\perp T}(Y,Z)U, J_2 C_X V\rangle - \langle R^{\perp T}(Y,Z)V, J_2 C_X U\rangle \\ &\quad + \langle J_2 R^{\perp T}(U,V) C_X Y, Z\rangle + \langle R^{\perp T}(U,V)Y, J_2 C_X Z\rangle \,.\end{aligned}$$

If M is curvature invariant then (29) reduces to the condition that the tensor field $[C,C]$ is parallel ($\nabla [C,C] = 0$).

Proof. The proof follows directly from the Gauss equation and (24). $\square$

5.5. **Maximal totally complex submanifolds of para-quaternionic space forms.** Now we assume that $(\widetilde{M}^{4n}, Q, \widetilde{g})$ is a non flat para-quaternionic space form, i.e. a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold which is locally isometric to the para-quaternionic projective space $\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}P^n$ or the dual para-quaternionic hyperbolic space $\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}H^n$ with standard metric of reduced scalar curvature ν. Recall that the curvature tensor of $(\widetilde{M}^{4n}, Q, \widetilde{g})$ is given by $\widetilde{R} = \nu R_0$ (see (2)). We denote by $R^{\epsilon}_{\mathbb{C}P^n}$ the curvature tensor of the ϵ-complex projective space (normalized such that the holomorphic curvature equal to 1):

$$R^{\epsilon}_{\mathbb{C}P^n}(X,Y) = \frac{1}{4}\Big(-\epsilon X \wedge Y + JX \wedge JY - 2\langle JX, Y\rangle J\Big)\,.$$

It is a straightforward to verify the

Proposition 5.13. *Let $(M^{2n}, \mathcal{J}^{\epsilon}, g)$ be a totally ϵ-complex submanifold of the para-quaternionic space form $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$. We have:*

(1) $R^{TT}_{XY} = -\epsilon\nu (R^{\epsilon}_{\mathbb{C}P^n})_{XY} = \epsilon\frac{\nu}{4}\Big(\epsilon X \wedge Y - J_1 X \wedge J_1 Y + 2\langle J_1 X, Y\rangle J_1\Big)$.

(2) $\mathrm{Ric}(R^{TT}) = \frac{\nu}{2}(n+1) g \,,\; g = \widetilde{g}_{|M}$.

(3) $R^{\perp T} = R^{T\perp} = 0$.

(4) $R^{\perp\perp}_{XY} = \frac{\nu}{4}\Big(- J_2 X \wedge J_2 Y + \epsilon J_3 X \wedge J_3 Y + 2\epsilon \langle J_1 X, Y\rangle J_1\Big)$.

As a consequence of Corollary (5.6) and Proposition (5.13) we get

Proposition 5.14. *Let M^{2n} be a ϵ-Kähler submanifold of a para-quaternionic space form $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$ with reduced scalar curvature ν.*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ric}_M(X,X) &= \tfrac{\nu}{2}(n+1) g(X,X) + \mathrm{tr} C^2_X \\ &= \tfrac{\nu}{2}(n+1) ||X||^2 - \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{2n} \mu_i ||h(E_i, X)||^2, \qquad X \in T_x M\end{aligned}$$

Moreover the second fundamental form h_x of M at point $x \in M$ vanishes if and only if $(\mathrm{Ric}_M)_x = \frac{\nu}{2}(n+1) g$. In particular M is a totally ϵ-complex totally geodesic submanifold if and only if

$$\mathrm{Ric}_M = \frac{\nu}{2}(n+1) g\,.$$

From Proposition (5.12) we get

Proposition 5.15. *A maximal ϵ-Kähler submanifold $(M^{2m}, \mathcal{J}^{\epsilon}, g)$ of a non flat para-quaternionic space form is locally symmetric if and only if the tensor field $[C,C]$ is parallel. In particular, any maximal ϵ-Kähler submanifold with parallel second fundamental form is (locally) symmetric.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove only the last statement. Assume that $\nabla' h = 0$. Then $\nabla_X C = \epsilon\omega(X)\mathcal{J}^\epsilon C$ and $\nabla_X [C,C](Y,Z) = [\nabla_X C_Y, C_Z] + [C_Y, \nabla_X C_Z] = \epsilon\omega(X)\Big([\mathcal{J}^\epsilon C_Y, C_Z] + [C_Y, \mathcal{J}^\epsilon C_Z]\Big) = 0$ since C_Y anticommutes with $\mathcal{J}^\epsilon$. □

6. The parallel cubic line bundles of a maximal parallel ϵ-Kähler submanifold

For a deep analysis of parallel submanifolds of a quaternionic manifold refer to [5], [19]. We will assume that $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$ is a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold with the reduced scalar curvature $\nu \neq 0$. We consider first the case that (M^{2n}, J, g) is a parallel totally complex submanifold of $\widetilde{M}$. From Proposition (5.7)

$$P_{XY} := (\nabla_X C)_Y - \omega(X) J \circ C_Y = 0 \qquad X, Y \in TM.$$

We will assume moreover that M is not a totally geodesic submanifold, i.e. $h \neq 0$. By Proposition (5.7) M is a curvature invariant submanifold ($R^{\perp T} = 0$). We denote by $T^{\mathbb{C}}M = T^{1,0}M + T^{0,1}M$ the decomposition of the complexified tangent bundle into holomorphic and antiholomorphic parts and by $T^{*\mathbb{C}}M = T^{*1,0}M + T^{*0,1}M$ the dual decomposition of the cotangent bundle.

Denote by $S_J^{(1)\mathbb{C}}$ the complexification of the bundle $S_J^{(1)}$ (see Corollary (5.2)) and by $g \circ S_J^{(1)\mathbb{C}}$ the associated subbundle of the bundle $S^3(T^*M)^{\mathbb{C}}$. We will call $S^3(T^*M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ the **bundle of complex cubic forms**.

Proposition 6.1. *Let* (M^{2n}, J, g) *be a parallel Kähler submanifold of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold* $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$ *with* $\nu \neq 0$. *If it is not totally geodesic then on* M *there is a pair of canonically defined parallel complex line subbundles* L *(resp.* $\overline{L}$*) of the bundle* $S^3(T^{*1,0}M)$ *(resp.* $S^3(T^{*0,1}M)$*) of holomorphic (resp. antiholomorphic) cubic forms such that the curvature induced by the Levi-Civita connection has the curvature form*

$$R^L = -i\nu F, \qquad (\text{resp. } R^{L'} = i\nu F). \tag{30}$$

Proof. We first prove the following

Lemma 6.2. $g \circ S_J^{(1)\mathbb{C}} = S^3(T^{*1,0}M) + S^3(T^{*0,1}M)$.

Proof. Since $J|_{T^{1,0}M} = i\,Id$, $J|_{T^{0,1}M} = -i\,Id$, one has

$$S_J^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathrm{Hom}(T^{1,0}M, T^{0,1}M) + \mathrm{Hom}(T^{0,1}M, T^{1,0}M)$$

where $S_J^{\mathbb{C}}$ is the space $S_J^{\mathbb{C}}$ of complex endomorphisms of $T_x^{\mathbb{C}}M$ which anticommute with J. In fact, let $X \in T^{1,0}M$, $A \in S_J^{\mathbb{C}}$, and denote by $AX = Y = Y^{1,0} + Y^{0,1}$, $Y^{1,0} \in T^{1,0}M$, $Y^{0,1} \in T^{0,1}M$. Then $AJX = iAX = iY^{1,0} + iY^{0,1}$ whereas $-JAX = -JY^{1,0} - JY^{0,1} = -iY^{1,0} + iY^{0,1}$ which implies $Y^{1,0} = 0$. Analogously, if $X \in T^{0,1}M$, we get $Y^{0,1} = 0$.

Hence the space $g \circ S_J^{\mathbb{C}}$ of symmetric bilinear forms, associated with $S_J^{\mathbb{C}}$ is

$$g \circ S_J^{\mathbb{C}} = S^2(T^{*1,0}M) + S^2(T^{*0,1}M)\,.$$

In fact, for $X \in T^{1,0}M$ and $A \in S_J^{\mathbb{C}}$,

$$< AX, Y >= - < J^2 AX, Y >=< JAX, JY >= - < AJX, JY >= -i < AX, JY > .$$

This implies that $JY = iY$ i.e $Y \in T^{1,0}M$. Analogously, if $X \in T^{0,1}M$ then $Y \in T^{0,1}M$. This proves the lemma. □

Using this lemma we can decompose the cubic form $gC \in g \circ S^{(1)}_J$ associated with the shape operator $C = J_2 h$ into holomorphic and antiholomorphic parts:

$$gC = q + \overline{q} \in S^3(T^{*1,0}M) + S^3(T^{*0,1}M)\,.$$

Since, by assumption, $\nabla_X C = \omega(X) J \circ C$ we have

$$g\nabla_X C = \nabla_X(gC) = \nabla_X q + \nabla_X \overline{q} = \omega(X) g(J \circ C).$$

For $Y, Z \in T^{1,0}M$, we get

$$\nabla_X(gC)(Y,Z) = \omega(X) g(JC(Y,Z)) = -i\omega(X) gC(Y,Z)$$

since $C(Y,Z) \in T^{0,1}M$ and $JC(Y,Z) = -iC(Y,Z)$. This shows that

$$\nabla_X q = -i\omega(X) q. \tag{31}$$

Under the changing of adapted basis $(J_\alpha) \to (J'_\alpha)$ represented in basis (J_1, J_2, J_3) by the first matrix in (21), we have $J'_2 = \cos\theta J_2 + \sin\theta J_3$ and the cubic form q changes by $q \to q' = (\cos\theta - i\sin\theta)q$. In fact, for $Y, Z \in T^{1,0}M$,

$$q'(Y,Z) = \cos\theta J_2 \circ h(Y,Z) + \sin\theta J_1(J_2 \circ h(Y,Z)) = \cos\theta q - i\sin\theta q$$

since $J_2 \circ h(Y,Z) \in T^{0,1}M$. Analogously $\overline{q} \to \overline{q}' = (\cos\theta + i\sin\theta)\overline{q}$.

Note also that the cubic forms q and $\overline{q}$ are not 0 at any point, since by assumption the second fundamental form h is parallel and not zero. These show that the complex line bundle $L = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(q) \subset S^3(T^{*1,0}M)$ (resp. $\overline{L} = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{q}) \subset S^3(T^{*0,1}M)$) is globally defined and parallel, i.e. the Levi-Civita connection ∇ preserves L (resp. $\overline{L}$) and defines a connection ∇^L in L (resp. $\nabla^{\overline{L}}$ in $\overline{L}$). Using (31), we calculate the curvature of ∇^L as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} R^L(X,Y)q &= \Big([\nabla^L_X, \nabla^L_Y] - \nabla^L_{[X,Y]}\Big)q = \Big([\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X,Y]}\Big)q \\ &= -\nabla_X\Big(\omega(Y)iq\Big) + \nabla_Y\Big(\omega(X)iq\Big) + \omega([X,Y])iq \\ &= -d\omega(X,Y)iq - \omega(Y)\omega(X)q + \omega(X)\omega(Y)q \\ &= -d\omega(X,Y)iq = -\nu F(X,Y)iq \end{aligned}$$

Analogously it is $\nabla_X \overline{q} = i\omega(X)\overline{q}$ and $R^{\overline{L}}(X,Y)\overline{q} = \nu F(X,Y) i\overline{q}\,.$ □

Definition 6.3. *A parallel subbundle $L \subset S^3(T^{*1,0}M)$ with the curvature form (30) on a Kähler manifold M is called a* ***parallel cubic line bundle of type*** $-\nu$.

Corollary 6.4. *A parallel maximal Kähler not totally geodesic submanifold M of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold $\widetilde{M}$ with $\nu \neq 0$ has a pair of parallel cubic line bundles of type $\pm\nu$.*

Let consider now the case that (M^{2n}, K, g) is a parallel, totally para-complex, not totally geodesic submanifold of $\widetilde{M}$. Then

$$P_{XY} = (\nabla_X C)_Y + \omega(X) K \circ C_Y = 0 \quad X, Y \in TM \qquad \text{and} \qquad h \not\equiv 0.$$

By Proposition (5.7) M is a curvature invariant submanifold. Let $TM = T^+M + T^-M$ be the bi-Lagrangean decomposition of the tangent bundle into the $(+1)$ and (-1) eigenspaces of K and by $T^*M = (T^{*+}M) + (T^{*-}M)$ the dual decomposition of the cotangent bundle. We will call $S^3(T^*M)$ the **bundle of real cubic forms**.

We recall that $C \in S^{(1)}_K$ (see Corollary (5.2)) and we denote by $g \circ S^{(1)}_K$ the associated subbundle of the bundle $S^3(T^*M)$. Following the same line of proof of lemma (6.2) we can affirm that

Lemma 6.5. $g \circ S_K^{(1)} = S^3(T^{*+}M) + S^3(T^{*-}M)$.

We can then decompose the cubic form $gC \in g \circ S_K^{(1)}$ associated with the shape operator $C = J_2 h$ according to :

$$gC = q^+ + q^- \in S^3(T^{*+}M) + S^3(T^{*-}M)\,.$$

Proposition 6.6. *Let* (M^{2n}, K) *be a parallel para-Kähler submanifold of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold* $\widetilde{M}^{4n}$ *with* $\nu \neq 0$. *If it is not totally geodesic then on* M *the pair of real line subbundle* $L^+ := \mathbb{R}q^+ \subset S^3(T^{*+}M)$ *and* $L^- := \mathbb{R}q^- \subset S^3(T^{*-}M)$ *are globally defined and parallel, i.e the Levi-Civita connection* ∇ *preserves* L^+ *(resp.* L^-*) and defines a connection* ∇^{L^+} *on* L^+ *(resp.* ∇^{L^-} *on* L^-*) whose curvature is*

$$R^{L^+} = \nu F, \quad (\textit{resp.} \quad R^{L^-} = -\nu F) \tag{32}$$

where $F = g \circ K$ *is the Kähler form of* M.

Proof. Following the same line of proof of the previous Kähler case, we have

$$\nabla_X q^+ = \omega(X) q^+; \qquad \nabla_X q^- = -\omega(X) q^-. \tag{33}$$

Under a changing of the adapted basis $(J_\alpha) \to (J'_\alpha)$, represented in basis (J_1, J_2, J_3) by the second matrix in (21), we have that

$$q^+ \to q'^+ = (\cosh\theta - \sinh\theta) q^+ \qquad \text{and} \qquad q^- \to q'^- = (\cosh\theta + \sinh\theta) q^-.$$

Note that $q^+ \neq 0$ and $q^- \neq 0$ at any point, since by assumption the second fundamental form h is parallel and not zero and the metric g is non degenerate on M. Then the real line bundles $L^+ := \mathbb{R}q^+ \subset S^3(T^{*+}M)$ and $L^- := \mathbb{R}q^- \subset S^3(T^{*-}M)$ are globally defined and parallel, i.e the Levi-Civita connection ∇ preserves L^+ (resp. L^-) and defines a connection ∇^{L^+} on L^+ (resp. ∇^{L^-} on L^-). Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} R^{L^+}(X,Y)q^+ &= \Big([\nabla^{L^+}_X, \nabla^{L^+}_Y] - \nabla^{L^+}_{[X,Y]}\Big) q^+ = \Big([\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X,Y]}\Big) q^+ \\ &= \nabla_X \Big(\omega(Y)(q^+)\Big) - \nabla_Y \Big(\omega(X)(q^+)\Big) - \omega([X,Y])(q^+) \\ &= d\omega(X,Y)(q^+) = \nu F(X,Y) q^+\,. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously $R^{L^-}(X,Y)q^- = -\nu F(X,Y) q^-$. □

Acknowledgments. I owe my deepest gratitude to Professor Dmitri Alekseevsky whose precious guidance have been fundamental to accomplish this research.

References

[1] D.V. Alekseevsky: *Compact quaternion spaces*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **2** (1968), 106-114.

[2] D.V. Alekseevsky, V. Cortes: *The twistor spaces of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold*, Osaka J.Math. (1) **45** (2008), 215-251.

[3] D.V. Alekseevsky, V. Cortes: *Classification of pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces of quaternionic Kähler type*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) **213** (2005), 33-62.

[4] D. V. Alekseevsky, C. Medori, A. Tomassini: *Homogeneous para-Kähler Einstein manifolds*, Russian Math. Surveys (1) **64** (2009), 1-43

[5] D.V. Alekseevsky, S. Marchiafava: *Hermitian and Kähler submanifolds of a quaternionic Kähler manifold*, Osaka J. Math. (4) **38** (2001), 869-904.

[6] C. Bejan: *A classification of the almost para Hermitian manifolds*, Proc. Conference on Diff. Geom. and Appl., Dubrovnik, (1988), 23-27.

[7] V. Cruceanu, P. Fortuny, P.M. Gadea: *A survey on para complex geometry*, Rocky Mountain J.Math **26** (1996), 83-115.

[8] A.S. Dancer, H.R. Jorgensen, A.F. Swann *Metric geometris over the split quaternions*, Rend. Sem. Univ. Pol. Torino (2) **63** (2005), 119-139.

[9] L.David: *About the geometry of almost para-quaternionic manifold*, Differential Geom.Appl. (5) **27** (2009), 575-588.

[10] S. Funabashi: *Totally complex submanifolds of a quaternionic Kählerian manifold*, Kodai Math. J. **2** (1979), 314-336.

[11] P.M. Gadea, J. Muñoz Masqué: *Classification of almost para Hermitian manifolds*, Rend. Mat. Appl. **11** (1991), 337-396.

[12] A.Gray: *A note on manifolds whose holonomy group is a subgroup of* $Sp(n) \cdot Sp(1)$, Michigan Math. J. **16** (1969), 125-128.

[13] A. Gray, L.M. Hervella: *The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **123** (1980), 35-58.

[14] S. Ianus, S. Marchiafava, G.E.Vilcu: *Paraquaternionic CR-submanifolds of paraquaternionic Kähler manifold and semi-Riemannian submersions*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. (4) **8** (2010), 735-753.

[15] S. Ivanov, S. Zamkovay: *Para-Hermitian and Para-Quaternionic manifolds*, Diff.Geom. Appl. **23** (2005), 205-234.

[16] S. Marchiafava: *Submanifolds of (para-)quaternionic Kahler manifolds*, Note Mat. **28** (2008), suppl. 1, 295-316 (2009).

[17] A. Martínez: *Totally complex submanifolds of quaternionic projective space*, Geometry and topology of submanifolds (Marseille, 1987) World Sci. Publishing, Teaneck, NJ, (1989), 157-164.

[18] M. Takeuchi: *Totally complex submanifolds of quaternionic symmetric spaces*, Japan. J. Math. (N.S.)**12** (1986), 161-189.

[19] K. Tsukada: *Parallel submanifolds in a quaternion projective space*, Osaka J. Math. **22** (1985), 187-241.

[20] M.Vaccaro: *Subspaces of a para-quaternionic Hermitian vector space*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. (7) **8** (2011), to appear. arXiv:1011.2947v1 [math.DG].

[21] M.Vaccaro: *Basics of linear para-quaternionic geometry I: Hermitian para-type structure on a real vector space*, Bull. Soc. Sci. Lettres Łódź Sér. Rech. Déform (1) **61** (2011), to appear.

[22] M.Vaccaro: *Basics of linear para-quaternionic geometry II: Decomposition of a generic subspace of a para-quaternionic Hermitian vector space*, Bull. Soc. Sci. Lettres Łódź Sér. Rech. Déform (2) **61** (2011), to appear.

Dipartimento dell'Ingegneria di Informazione e Matematica Applicata, Università di Salerno, 84084 - Fisciano (SA) , Italy

E-mail address: `massimo_vaccaro@libero.it`